UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America		ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL		
	V. Charles Oakley Defendant	Case No. 1:17-cr-00148-PLM		
	fter conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Act efendant be detained pending trial.	18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require		
	Part I – Findings	of Fact		
(1)) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of a federal offense a state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had existed – that is			
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which the prison term is 10 years or more.			
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is death of	r life imprisonment.		
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten yea	rs or more is prescribed in:		
	a felony committed after the defendant had been convidue. U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local	cted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 offenses.		
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves:			
	a minor victim the possession or use of a firearm or destriction a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250	uctive device or any other dangerous weapon		
(2)				
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the date offense described in finding (1).	e of conviction defendant's release from prison for the		
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another person or the community. I further find that defendant has not rebutted that presumption.			
	Alternative Findir	ngs (A)		
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has con	mmitted an offense		
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.) under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	is prescribed in:*		
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established	by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions		
、 /	will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the sa	afety of the community.		
1 (1)	Alternative Findir There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.	ngs (B)		
	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the sa	afety of another person or the community		
(2)	Part II – Statement of the Rea	•		
	find that the testimony and information submitted at the detent a preponderance of the evidence that:			
	 ndant waived his detention hearing, electing not to contest dete	ention at this time.		

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

2. Defendant may bring the issue of his continuing detention to the court's attention should his circumstances change.

Date:	May 1, 2018	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody
		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge